STF   **«STF456»**
Title: **«eCall Migration»**
Author: Bob Williams

Subject: ETSITC-ITS
Place: **Sophia Antipolis, France**
Date: **22-25 October 2013**

Travel Order: STF/2013/

Experts travelling to meetings other than those of ETSI TBs must produce an executive report of their attendance. The report should provide the necessary information to assess the benefit for the STF from the attendance. In particular, the report should mention the scope of the meeting/conference/event, the outstanding participants (persons, Companies, Organizations), the contacts realized, the interventions of the expert, the copy of presentations/documents submitted and, if possible, testimonials of the perception of the audience.

If required for the EC/EFTA contract, the original signed attendance sheets for the documentation of the in-kind contribution must be posted to the following address:

> *ETSI*
> *STFLINK*
> *650, Route des Lucioles*
> *F-06921 Sophia Antipolis*
> *France*

Please send this report to mailto:stflink@etsi.org as soon as possible after the conclusion of your mission and before submitting the travel reimbursement request.

The approval of the Mission Travel Report by the STF Manager is required to authorize the reimbursement of the travel cost.

This is an example of "bullet points" structure.

1   Scope of the event

This meeting was a scheduled ISO TC plenary with associated WG meetings October 2013. ISO TC204 WG18 is the working group responsible for application level Cooperative ITS Standards, which is the environment that will provide "ITS-station" standards, i.e. the platform from which, packet switched eCalls will be made in the longer term. Hence very relevant to STF456.

Our objective in attending was to brief our ISO Colleagues on the progress of STF456, and to advise them of likely impacts on their Standards, and requirements regarding supporting eCall on an ITS-Station platform.

2   Participants

See ETSI TC-ITS minutes on ETSI site

3   In-kind contribution

As we were unable to circulate the current draft technical report (in comment resolution process in ETSI MSG), and could only verbally report progress of the STF and respond to questions, we did not feel it appropriate to collect in-kind signatures.

4   Outstanding presentations

BW attended the joint WG meeting and WG5 security meetings. There was an active debate and support for sharing the work. BW explained that until the report was revised and approved by ETSI MSG he was not at liberty to circulate the current version, but orally summarised the issues of
   a) Migration of eCall and operation using E-Utran/packet switched
   b) Default to 2G/3G
   c) Outstanding issues that would not be addressed by STF 456 regarding supporting ecall from a common platform
   d) The need for TTCN for E_Utran/IMS eCall

He undertook to circulate the report when it was released. There was debate about supporting lengthy voice calls over a limited 5.9Ghz channel from an ITS-station. Some felt it an issue others did not. All were relieved about the default to 2G/3G.

It was agreed that as soon as the work of STF456 was finalised, TC-ITS would need to take up the issues regarding cohabitation and support form an ITS-station.

As the report could not be shared, on advice of the STF project leader, it was not felt appropriate to collect signatures at this point.
BW submitted the report to the plenary which was recorded in the minutes of TC-ITS, but there was no debate at the plenary because the Chair wanted to conclude the meeting early, and the subject had already been discussed by the joint WG meeting.

5   Presentations/interventions from the STF

No formal presentation was made, only a verbal report and a promise to circulate the interim working draft after the ETSI MSG CR process is completed.

6   Stakeholders reaction/comments

The briefing was appreciated by the meeting participants, who again requested to have the draft TR circulated to them as soon as possible.

7   Knowledge acquired / guidance received for the STF work

This was a mid-project summary of progress, and will be followed by circulation of the current working draft after it has passed through ETSI MSG comment resolution.

8   STF results dissemination / recognition

I was welcomed as a representative of the STF.

9 Impact of the participation on the STF

This was an intermediate to summarise progress of the STF, advise that the interim draft will be circulated before the end of the year and that review and contribution to that draft will be appreciated.

10 Conclusion

The outreach was successful.

Bob Williams